



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,977	03/11/2004	Sam S. Tsai	19507-003	4055
60951 7590 07/24/2008				
WPAT, PC				
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS				
2030 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300				
IRVINE, CA 92614				
EXAMINER				
FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2621				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/24/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/796,977

**Applicant(s)**

TSAI ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY

**Art Unit**

2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anthony King (Reg. No. 49,063).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ye et al. (US 20060146937 A1) and Turaga et al. (US 7023923 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative requested suggestions from the Examiner regarding possible claim amendments. The Examiner suggested that the Applicant's representative discuss any perceived differences between the instant invention and the prior art with the Applicants in order to amend the claims according to their wishes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required